

PAUL ARNOLD LEWIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

MURPHY-BROWN, LLC,

Defendants.

“The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusion of the magistrate judge to which no objections have been raised.” Clark v. Harrah’s NC Casino Co., LLC, No. 1:17–CV–00240–MR–DLH, 2018 WL 4664136, at *1 (W.D.N.C. Sept. 28, 2018) (citing Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) (“There is no indication that Congress, in enacting § 636(b)(1)(C), intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate’s report to which no objections are filed.”)). Accordingly, the court ADOPTS the M&R as its own. Plaintiff’s § 1983 claim is DISMISSED. The Clerk is DIRECTED to send plaintiff a blank summons. Within 30 days, plaintiff shall complete the summons and return it to the Clerk for issuance. The United States Marshals Service is

DIRECTED to serve the summons with a copy of the complaint on defendant.

This 24 October 2019.

A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to read "W. Earl Britt", is positioned above a horizontal line.

W. Earl Britt
Senior U.S. District Judge